NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL NEW DELHI BENCH **NEW DELHI**

C. P. NO. 109(ND)2016 CA. NO.

PRESENT: SMT. INA MALHOTRA

Hon'ble Member (J)

ATTENDANCE-CUM-ORDER SHEET OF THE HEARING OF NEW DELHI BENCH OF THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL ON 03.10.2016

NAME OF THE COMPANY: M/s. Ansal Housing & Construction Ltd.

SECTION OF THE COMPANIES ACT: 74(2)

S.NO. DESIGNATION NAME REPRESENTATION SIGNATURE 1 PK Mittal Ad Pathones de 2. S. N. Grove CS Ansol Housing Frag 3. Talum Kalkum VSCF) Ansol Housing Jam.

Presented in Court on 09.09.2016

Disposed off on 03.10.2016

ORDER

The petitioner has filed this application u/s 74(2) of the Companies Act 2013, praying for enhancement of time for liquidating their liability under the fixed deposits accepted by them but are unable to repay the proceeds on account of acute financial crises. The petitioner is primarily engaged in the business of development of Real Estate. Publication inviting objections was duly made in the newspapers Financial Express and Jansatta dated 25.08.2016, pursuant to which several objections were received and placed before this Bench.



Contd/-....

OF

2. As the initial proposal submitted in the petition did not find favour with this Bench, a fresh proposal has been given by the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner. Some of the depositors are present in Court and have expressed their satisfaction over it.

-2-

- 3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner that the company has never defaulted in fulfilling its Statutory Obligations as well as towards the depositors in the past. Extension of time to liquidate the matured fixed deposits has been prayed for as the Real Estate Industry has been depressed for a long time due to which they have not been able to mobilize funds. The petitioner is hopeful that the situation may ease off in the future and have filed their projected P&L statements. This Bench had cajoled and prodded the petitioners to better their proposal for extinguishing the "bility towards their depositors in a shorter span. A final proposal to which this Bench has accorded its consent, strictly subject its timely adherence, is Mark 'A'. The Bench is inclined to accept the same keeping in view that it would be expedient to grant breathing space to the company to revive and nurse its financial health rather than hammering a nail in its coffin, as also the fact that it would be in the larger interest of the investors to receive back their money with interest even though in a phased manner, than get nothing at all. The petitioner submits that marginally an additional rate of interest of 0.5%would also be given to the investors on account of the delayed repayment.
- 4. As per their proposal, no extension of time is being prayed for in cases of small investors upto Rs.50,000/- and the proceeds under these FDRs shall be disbursed on the date of maturity. In respect of higher amounts of FDRs, extension of time upto a maximum of 24 months from the due date is sought from this Bench to enable them make the repayments in a phased manner. The last date of maturity for the FDRs held by them is 31.03.2019. Their proposal is reproduced as under:-



PROPOSED REVISED REPAYMENT SCHEDULE SHOWING CATEGORYWISE REPAYMENT OF DEPOSITS AT DIFFERENT PERIODS IN PERCENTAGE TERMS

(Payment of FDRs in %age)

Category (Rs.)	On Maturity i.e. 0M	After 6 Months i.e. 6M	After 9 Months i.e. 9M	After 12 Months i.e. 12M	After 18 Months i.e. 18M	After 24 Months i.e. 24M	Total
Upto 50000	100						
More Than 50000- Upto 100000	50	25	25		<u>.</u>	-	100
More Than 100000- Upto 200000	40	10	20	15	15		100
More Than 200000- Upto 500000 Maturing upto 31.03.2018	15	5	10	15	25	30	100
Maturing after 31.03.2018	15	-	15	15	25	30	100
More Than 50000 Maturing Upto 31.03.2018	15	-	15	15	25	30	100
Maturing After 31.03.2018	15	-	15	15	25	30	100

PROPOSED REVISED REPAYMENT SCHEDULE SHOWING CATEGORYWISE REPAYMENT (OUTGO) OF DEPOSITS DURING EACH FINANCIAL YEAR IN VALUE TERMS

(Payment in Rs.Lakhs)

Category (Rs.)	FY 2016- 17	FY 2017- 18	FY 2018- 19	FY 2019- 20	FY 2020- 21	Total
Upto 50000	845.45	148.55	129.58			1101 50
More than 50000- Upto 100000	474.04	458.15	204.20	67.92		1123.58
More than 100000- Upto 200000	333.76	463.57	267.39	122.03	17.64	1204.39
More than 200000- Up to 500000	212.82	488.80	831.67	311.73	189.59	2034.61
More than 500000	241.40	683,60	1107.44	343,22		
Total	2107.47	2242.67	2540.28	844.90	171.87 379.10	2547.53 8114.42



- 5. The aforesaid proposal of the petitioner company for enhancement of time for repayment of the matured deposits in a phased manner over a period of 24 months from the due date is being accepted in principle only in view of their past track record. However, it is also subject to their adherence to the schedule submitted in Court. It therefore becomes imperative to review and accord extension quarterly in terms of the above.
- 6. The petitioners have also undertaken that in addition to the above schedule they would be making provisions of Rs.60 lacs per quarter towards Hardship cases. The Company Secretary, its V.P.Finance as well as the Counsel for the petitioner shall review the Hardship applications received every three months. The final disbursal of this Hardship cases shall be on approval of this Bench.
- 7. The compliance report shall be placed before this Bench for review and quarterly extension of time in terms of the proposal accepted by this Bench today. The same shall be annexed with a certified copy of this order. Affidavit of compliance shall be filed within two weeks of expiry of every quarter for further extension giving the names of all depositors whose liability/extent of liability has been extinguished and/or their remaining liability together with the amount of the next/remaining installment due and the next date on which they are likely to receive further installment of their money.
- 8. The petition is disposed off in terms of the above. Be consigned to the Record Room.

प्रेमचन्द्र / Prem Chand 77 18116 न्यायपीठ अधिकारी / Bench Officer कम्पनी विधि बोर्ड / Company I aw Beard MELT भारत सरकार / Govt. of India नई दिल्ली / riew Delhi

(Ina Malhotra) Member Judicial

Mark't' AHCL REVISED PROPOSAL

PROPOSAL- 2

ANSAL HOUSING & CONSTRUCTION LIMITED

PROPOSED REVISED REPAYMENT SCHEDULE SHOWING CATEGOR? WISE REPAYMENT OF DEPOSITS AT DIFFERENT PERIODS IN PERCENTAGE TERMS

On Maturity After 6 i.e. 0M After 9 i.e. 9M After 12 i.e. 18 Months After 12 Months After 18 Months After 24 Months Total 000 100 - - - - - 100 000 25 25 - - - 100 000 40 10 20 15 15 - 100 Maturing upto 31.03.2018 15 5 10 15 25 30 100 Maturing after 31.03.2018 15 - 15 15 25 30 100
After 9 After 12 After 18 After 2 Months Months Months Months i.e. 9M i.e. 12M i.e. 18M i.e. 24N 25 . . . 20 15 15 . 10 15 25 30 15 15 25 30 15 15 25 30 15 15 25 30
After 9 After 12 After 18 After 2 Months Months Months Months i.e. 9M i.e. 12M i.e. 18M i.e. 24N 25 - - - 20 15 15 - 10 15 25 30 15 15 25 30 15 15 25 30 15 15 25 30
After 18 After 2 Months Month i.e. 18 M i.e. 24N 15 - 15 - 25 30 25 30
After 2 Month i.e. 24N 30 30
After 24 Months i.e. 24M 30 30

PROPOSED REVISED REPAYMENT SCHEDULE SHOWING CATEGORYWISE REPAYMENT (OUTGO) OF DEPOSITS DURING EACH FINANCIAL YEAR IN VALUE TERMS

8114.42	01.47.6	2000				
011	270 10	844 90	2540.28	10.7477	7.07.11	
2547.53	171.87	77.040		27 57 55	210747	CTGT
		2/12/22	1107 44	00,000	O4.1.TO	Total
2034.61	189.59	311.73	00000	2000	241 40	AND A HIGH
1	100 -	31177	831 67	488.80	20.01	fore than Engage
1204 30	17.64	C(1777)	100000	100.00	28 212	More than 200000 - Upto 500000
		122 12	767 30	403.3/	01,000	flow the approx
1204 31		27.77	17	1770	373 76	Minie III an Tuoran - Upto 200000
4		CO # 2	204 20	458.15	60'lest 4-	2000
1127 58	r		0.7.7.1		17101	wore 0320 50000- Upto 100000
TOTAL	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		82.00	148.55	043,43	
Total	FY 2020-21	FY 2019-20 FY 2020-21	61-010-13		21, 21,0	90000 of the state
		1000	DV 3010 10	FY 2017-18	FY 2016-17	

(s. h. Gross)

(TARON KATHURIA)

OP (Granu)